1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 9 10 RENALDO WHITE and RANDOLPH CASE NO. 20-1866 MJP NADEAU, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, 11 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR **EXTENSION** Plaintiffs, 12 13 v. SYMETRA ASSIGNED BENEFITS 14 SERVICE COMPANY; SYMETRA 15 LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, Defendants. 16 17 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Extension of 18 Time. (Dkt. No. 103.) Plaintiffs ask the Court for an additional ten days to file a reply, stating 19 that "[t]his motion is for good cause and not for the purpose of delay." (Id. at 1.) But simply 20 reciting the words "good cause" does not actually demonstrate good cause. Plaintiffs provide no 21 reason why the extension is necessary or why there is good cause to grant the request. On this 22 record, the Court DENIES the request without prejudice. Should Plaintiffs renew their request, 23 24

they must provide grounds for the Court to evaluate whether and why any additional time is necessary and whether there is good cause to extend the deadline. The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel. Dated May 4, 2022. Marshy Helins Marsha J. Pechman United States Senior District Judge